

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and as such is required by law to serve the public interest. Showing the "Stolen Honor" documentary is clearly a case of electioneering obviously biased against one candidate and for another. This is not a case of serving the public interest. This is what happens when large companies control the airwaves and are more concerned with what's good for the bottom line or having their own agenda heard.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you.